### OCT Workshop Vision Expo East 2024 Mile Brujic, OD, FAAO; Michael Chaglasian, OD, FAAO; Danica Marrelli, OD, FAAO

This OCT workshop will be a great follow up to the March Madness series occurring at Vision Expo East (VEE) 2024.

- This workshop will include a brief introduction about OCT technology, different types of scan protocols, and image interpretation.
- Attendees will be able to gain experience with the various imaging devices including SD-OCT, SS-OCT, OCT-Angiography.
- Attendees will also be exposed to image management software during the hands on portion of the workshop
- The workshop also allows attendees to gain more knowledge and skills related to reviewing OCT images and various reports

# Objectives

- 1) Discussion regarding the new technologies and features associated with OCT current imaging.
- 2) Provide an opportunity to work with and use the various SD OCT devices from Topcon, Zeiss, and Visionix
- 3) Provide an opportunity to learn about and to gain experience with OCT angiography (OCT-A)
- 4) Learn about the unique differences between the various OCT devices
- 5) Gain hands-on experience using the various imaging devices

# Outline

Introduction of the various imaging technologies which will include SD-OCT, SS-OCT, OCT-Angiography, **45-60 minutes** 

- a. Introduction to OCT technology will include:
  - i. Fundamentals of OCT imaging
  - ii. Differences between SD-OCT and SS-OCT
  - iii. Features associated with OCT devices from different manufacturers
  - iv. Types of scans offered by devices
  - v. Ocular diseases that can be more easily diagnosed through OCT
  - vi. Cases highlighting the use of OCT imaging in diagnosis of ocular conditions
- 1) Introduction
  - a. Non-contact, in vivo 3D imaging of the vitreous, retina, choroid, and sclera
  - b. Basic principle is low coherence interferometry
- 2) <u>Different Instruments</u>

- 3) Scan options
  - a) ONH Cube 200 x 200
    - i) Once 3 scans are done Guided Progression Analysis (GPA)<sup>®</sup> can be done to look for progression
  - b) Macular Cube 512 x 200
    - i) Review on macular thickness
    - ii) Review of ganglion cell layer (GCL+, GCL++)
    - iii) How to display macular thickness ganglion cell layer thickness and conduct change analysis between visits
  - c) 21 line raster
  - d) 1 line raster
    - i) Maximizes image averaging by overlay 100 B-scan images of the same retinal location
    - ii) Cancels out random noise ("speckle", "static")
    - iii) Good for imaging the vitreous or choroid (for choroid imaging also use EDI)
- 4) <u>Display/Analysis</u>
  - a) Enface analysis
    - i) An en face image represents a slab of data, typically including several retinal layers, that are compressed down into a 2D plane
    - ii) Can be used to view both structural and angiography OCT data
    - iii) Vitreoretinal interface (ERM), Mid-retina (CME), IS/OS Junction (hydroxychloroquine toxicity, geographic atrophy

iii) How to improve depth imaging to acquire better images of the choroid, sclera, lamina cribrosa (pachychoroid disease, choroidal tumors)

5) Printout/Interpretation





#### OCT NORMAL RETINAL ANATOMY





(Hood reports for glaucoma analysis will be reviewed)

#### 7) OCT Angiography

a) Non-invasive optical coherence tomography (OCT) technology that provides 3D volumetric data regarding retinal and choroidal vasculature and blood flow

b) Co-registration of vascular and structural data allows for precise localization of vascular abnormalities

- c) Scan options for OCT-A technology with specific devices
  - i) 3x3mm- provides greatest resolution but smallest FOV, use to look for macular ischemia and subclinical diabetic retinopathy
  - ii) 6x6mm

- iii) 8x8mm
- iv) 12 x 12mm

v) 4.5mm ONH- use to detect NVD and radial peripapillary capillary dropout in glaucoma & other optic neuropathies

vi) Montage

vii) 6mm montage- knits together six 6mm scans to produce a 10x14mm viii) montage image

viii. 8mm montage- knits together five 8x8mm scans to produce a 14x14mm montage image



(Trend analysis report review for glaucoma management)

- 8) Image Management Review Software
  - a) Data management solution that enables a fully electronic workflow
  - b) Glaucoma & retinal image review
    - i) Retina and Glaucoma Workplace on Zeiss Forum
  - c) Event based versus tend based analysis

Workshop: 60-75 minutes

- a. Attendees will be broken up into small groups evenly to utilize the various OCT devices and their image review software
  - i. They will rotate through various instruments from different manufacturers, while having an opportunity to better understand features associated with each particular device.

- b. Each attendee receives instruction on hands-on opportunities to use the various technologies which include:
  - a. Noninvasive, noncontact transpupillary imaging technology
  - b. Analogous to ultrasound B-wave imaging or radar except light is used instead of acoustic or radio waves
  - c. Can image retinal structures in vivo with a resolution of 10  $\mu$
  - d. The retinal detail provided is consistent with an "optical biopsy" providing a 2- and 3-dimensional cross-sectional images of tissue microstructure. This is done by collecting backscattering of light reflected from the fundus and related structures
  - e. Provides cross-sectional images of retinal structures
    - i. Allows for clinical correlation
    - ii. Better anatomic perspective
    - iii. Diagnosis of ocular conditions
    - iv. Supplements other diagnostic testing
  - f. OCT has changed how clinicians look at the retina
    - i. The assessment of retinal abnormalities based on OCT imaging has advanced eye care
    - ii. OCT in Optometry practices ~ 40-70%
    - iii. As the technology has evolved -> price points for the devices continue to come down
  - g. Provides better understanding of vitreomacular interactions and related diseases
    - i. This has redefined our understanding of the pathogenesis of full thickness macular holes.
  - h. SS-OCT has improved our understanding of the retinal-choroidal interface
  - i. Glaucoma Management
    - i. RNFL analysis & print outs
    - ii. Ganglion Cell analysis & print outs
  - j. Retinal disease management
    - i. Review of all the scans that can be applied
    - ii. Macular cube vs. Raster lines
  - k. Current SD-OCT Available
    - i. Cirrus SD-OCT
    - ii. Heidelberg Spectralis
    - iii. Optovue Avantis
    - iv. Optovue iVue
  - I. Hardware is relatively similar between devices. The main differences revolved around software
    - i. Software will be demonstrated as a part of the workshop
    - ii. Some OCT's are combination units that Include fundus camera

- m. All have normative data base More important in glaucoma management
- ii. OCT Angiography (OCT-A)
  - a. Faster scanning speed so able to capture motion
    - i. Scans at 68,000 to 100,000 A-scans per second
    - ii. Traditional SD OCT scan at 28,000 to 40,000 A- scans per second

iii.. Compares repeat scans acquired at the same position in the retina to look for changes

- b. Able capture movement of the RBC's as it moves through the blood vessels
  - i. Capillaries are clearly delineated from another
  - ii. Branching points are more visible
  - iii. Even small loops are revealed
- c. OCT-A is beneficial for the diagnosis of CNV and retinal vascular disease
  - i. Case examples of CNV
  - ii. Case examples of OCTA in DR
  - iii. Motion artifacts
- vii. Swept Source OCT (SS-OCT)
  - a. Utilizes a swept cavity laser

b. Swept-source light source has a wavelength centered at ~1  $\mu m$  that sweeps across a narrow band of wavelengths, while spectral-domain devices utilize a broadband light source

- c. Scanning speeds up to a million A-scans per second achieved
- d. Faster speeds yield a high-density scan with high resolution en face OCT images, but at the expense of worse signal-to-noise ratio.
- e. Current commercially available SS-OCT devices operating at a speed of 100,000 A-scans/second
  - f. Disadvantages of Swept Source OCT
    - i. Lower axial resolution
    - ii. Image averaging (noise reduction) is higher
    - iii. Worse signal-to-noise ratio
    - iv. Worse motion artifact
    - v. No normative databases
    - vi. Higher cost